



SRUJANI: Indian Journal of Innovative Research and Development (SIJIRD)

Volume-4 Issue 3, July-August 2025, Pp. 1-12

Bi-Monthly, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Indexed Journal

I S S N: 2583-3510

S I R D F
J O U R N A L S
editor@srujani.in
www.srujani.in

Ethical Leadership and Administrative Duty: Insights from the Bhagavad Gita

Abhishek H. E

Faculty, Mahatma Gandhi Rural Development and, Panchayat Raj University, Gadag

Abstract:

Administrative ethics, a foundational component of effective governance, often draws from philosophical and cultural traditions. Among the classical texts offering profound insights into ethical governance is the Bhagavad Gita, a seminal Indian scripture that transcends religious boundaries to offer universal principles of duty (dharma), integrity, selflessness, and righteous action. This article explores the relevance of the Bhagavad Gita in framing a normative framework for administrative ethics in contemporary public administration. The dialogue between Lord Krishna and Arjuna provides a compelling model for ethical decision-making, focusing on concepts such as detached action (nishkama karma), steadfastness in duty, inner moral clarity, and the pursuit of collective welfare. Through a qualitative, interpretive methodology grounded in textual analysis, the study decodes selected verses to draw parallels between administrative challenges and the Gita's ethical imperatives. The research further argues that the Gita's teachings resonate with modern ethical frameworks including consequentialism, deontology, and virtue ethics. In an age marked by bureaucratic apathy, corruption, and erosion of public trust, the integration of spiritually anchored, context-sensitive ethics—such as those found in the Gita—could reinvigorate the moral compass of public administrators. This article contributes to the literature on comparative administrative ethics by highlighting indigenous knowledge systems and their applicability in enhancing governance values in the 21st century.

Keywords: Administrative Ethics, Bhagavad Gita, Public Administration, Dharma, Nishkama Karma, Ethical Governance, Leadership, Indian Knowledge Systems.

Introduction

In the evolving discourse of administrative ethics, scholars and practitioners alike have long grappled

with the challenge of defining and maintaining moral standards within public service. While much of the academic discussion has been shaped by Western

Please cite this article as: Abhishek H. E. (2025). Ethical Leadership and Administrative Duty: Insights from the Bhagavad Gita. *SRUJANI: Indian Journal of Innovative Research and Development* 4(3), 1–12

ethical philosophies—ranging from Kantian duty ethics to Mill's utilitarianism—there remains a pressing need to examine indigenous sources of ethical guidance, particularly in multicultural societies like India. One such profound source is the *Bhagavad Gita*, a cornerstone of Indian philosophical and ethical thought, revered not only as a religious scripture but also as a universal manual for righteous living and leadership.

The *Bhagavad Gita* is set on the battlefield of Kurukshetra, where Arjuna, a warrior prince, is struck by moral paralysis at the prospect of fighting his kin. His charioteer, Lord Krishna, delivers a discourse that transcends time and space, guiding Arjuna towards a higher understanding of duty (*dharma*), detachment, and purpose. This setting—an ethical dilemma in the face of action—is strikingly parallel to the kinds of moral challenges faced by modern administrators. Should a civil servant act out of fear of consequences? Is loyalty to one's institution greater than loyalty to one's conscience? Can one perform duties selflessly in a politicized environment?

These questions are not only relevant but also essential in the contemporary landscape of public administration. The increasing complexity of governance, the rise of ethical lapses in bureaucracy, and the erosion of public trust demand a deeper exploration of values that go beyond compliance and procedural correctness. The *Gita*, with its layered teachings on internal and external righteousness, offers timeless wisdom that can anchor ethical behavior in administrative practice.

At the heart of the *Gita*'s teaching lies the principle of *Nishkama Karma*—acting without attachment to the fruits of one's labor. This tenet has profound implications for public administrators, who are often caught between service delivery goals and political pressures. Acting with detachment, however, does not mean apathy; rather, it reflects a commitment to duty without selfish gain—a quality that is increasingly rare in bureaucratic systems prone to corruption and favoritism.

The *Gita* also emphasizes *Svadharm*a—one's own duty—as distinct from borrowed or imposed codes of conduct. This idea aligns with the contemporary emphasis on role clarity and responsibility in administrative ethics. Furthermore, Krishna's guidance calls for *Atma-vichara* (self-inquiry) and *Samatvam* (equanimity), qualities that support resilience and balanced decision-making in the face of ethical dilemmas.

This paper seeks to bridge classical Indian wisdom with modern administrative needs. By interpreting key verses of the *Bhagavad Gita* through the lens of public ethics, the study proposes an alternative ethical framework that complements established models such as Max Weber's rational-legal authority, Fred Riggs' bureaucratic ecology, and Herbert Simon's bounded rationality. Moreover, it aligns with the growing discourse on decolonizing ethics education by rooting moral inquiry in culturally resonant philosophies.

The methodology adopted is qualitative and interpretive, utilizing hermeneutics and thematic analysis of the text. Selected shlokas (verses) are interpreted contextually and their

relevance to administrative conduct is discussed with illustrative examples. The study also reviews contemporary applications of Gita-based ethics in governance, including case studies from Indian civil services and public sector leadership.

The structure of this paper is as follows: the next section lays down the conceptual foundation of administrative ethics and its evolution in academic literature. This is followed by an exploration of ethical principles in the *Bhagavad Gita*, mapping them onto core themes of public service ethics. Subsequent sections analyze the comparative value of Gita-inspired ethics vis-à-vis Western ethical models, and reflect on practical implications for administrative training and leadership development. The article concludes with observations on the relevance of spiritual-ethical integration in a globally interconnected, morally complex governance environment.

In sum, this research is a call to expand the epistemological horizons of administrative ethics by embracing indigenous sources of moral wisdom like the *Bhagavad Gita*. Rather than relegating such texts to the domain of religion or philosophy, it argues for their reintegration into the ethical consciousness of public service, where moral courage, duty, and righteousness are as crucial as technical competence.

Conceptual Foundations

Administrative ethics refers to the moral principles and standards that guide the behavior and decision-making of public officials. Rooted in integrity, accountability, and service to the public good, administrative ethics is essential to the legitimacy and effectiveness of

governance. As institutions grow more complex and diverse, so too do the ethical challenges confronting administrators—ranging from corruption and favoritism to moral apathy and conflicting loyalties.

Traditionally, the discourse on ethics in public administration has drawn from Western philosophical traditions—Kantian deontology emphasizing duty for duty's sake, Mill's utilitarianism focusing on outcomes, and Aristotle's virtue ethics concerned with moral character. While these theories offer robust frameworks, their cultural contexts differ significantly from those of non-Western societies. In India, for example, public service and moral duty have historically been intertwined with spiritual and philosophical teachings, particularly those embedded in texts like the *Bhagavad Gita*.

The *Gita*, as a conversation between Arjuna and Krishna, is not merely a religious scripture but a treatise on action, responsibility, and ethical decision-making under pressure. It emphasizes internal moral clarity, situational awareness, and a steadfast commitment to righteous duty (*dharma*)—principles highly relevant to public administration. The conceptual foundations of administrative ethics within the *Gita* can be mapped onto four core pillars:

Dharma: The Moral Compass of Duty

In administrative ethics, *dharma* serves as the guiding principle that ensures duties are carried out not merely for compliance but for the greater good. Dharma is not static; it is dynamic and contextual. In the *Gita*, Krishna emphasizes:

श्रेयान्स्वधर्मो विगुणः परधर्मात्स्वनुष्ठितात् |

"Shreyān svadharmo viguṇaḥ para-dharmāt sv-anuṣṭhitāt" (*Bhagavad Gita* 3.35)

"It is better to perform one's own duty imperfectly than to master the duty of another."

This verse underscores the importance of role-based ethics. For public administrators, this suggests that clarity about one's role and responsibilities is fundamental to ethical conduct. Ethical failure often arises not from ignorance of rules, but from abandoning one's *svadharma* (individual duty) due to fear, pressure, or temptation.

Nishkama Karma: Duty Without Desire for Outcome

A cornerstone of the *Gita*'s philosophy is *nishkama karma*—the performance of action without attachment to its fruits. This idea resonates deeply with modern expectations of impartial and selfless public service.

कर्मण्येवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेषु कदाचन ।

"Karmanye vadhikaraste mā phaleṣhu kadācana" (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.47)

"You have a right to perform your prescribed duties, but you are not entitled to the fruits of your actions."

In an administrative context, this principle encourages public servants to act with commitment and sincerity, without being swayed by personal gain, political favor, or fear of failure. Detached action doesn't imply indifference; rather, it is a call to act with integrity and purpose, regardless of outcomes.

Self-Mastery and Inner Ethics

The *Gita* places a high value on inner discipline and self-regulation, which aligns with the concept of "inner check" in administrative ethics—the idea that internal moral conscience is as crucial as external oversight.

उद्धरेदात्मनात्मानं नात्मानमवसादयेत् ।

"Uddhared ātmanātmānaṁ nātmānam avasādayet" (*Bhagavad Gita* 6.5)

"One must elevate, not degrade, the self through one's own efforts."

Here, Krishna appeals to the power of self-discipline and self-awareness in ensuring moral behavior. For administrators, ethical training must go beyond codes and laws; it must cultivate mindfulness, reflection, and a sense of internal accountability.

Samatvam: Ethical Equanimity

One of the more nuanced contributions of the *Gita* to administrative ethics is the idea of *samatvam*—mental equanimity and balance. Administrators often face high-pressure situations that require fair and unbiased decisions, even under conflicting pressures.

समत्वं योग उच्यते ।

"Samatvam yoga ucyate" (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.48)

"Equanimity is called Yoga."

This equanimity—detachment from praise and criticism, success and failure—is critical to ethical governance. It enables civil servants to uphold fairness without being influenced by political patronage or public pressure. Balanced decision-making is particularly vital in areas like resource allocation, disciplinary actions, or policy implementation.

Integrating Gita's Ethics with Modern Frameworks

While the *Gita* draws from a spiritual vocabulary, its teachings align with universal ethical values recognized in modern administrative theory. The idea of *dharma* parallels deontological ethics; *nishkama karma* aligns with Kant's

concept of duty-bound action; and *samatvam* resonates with emotional intelligence in leadership. Furthermore, self-mastery echoes the Aristotelian call for virtue ethics, where character and intention matter as much as outcome.

Importantly, these ethical foundations are deeply human. They recognize moral struggle, value introspection, and promote resilience—a needed corrective to the purely procedural or punitive models of ethics that often dominate bureaucracies.

The conceptual foundations of administrative ethics in the *Bhagavad Gita* are not confined to any one religion or culture. Rather, they offer a universally applicable framework rooted in duty, selflessness, inner clarity, and balanced conduct. As the challenges of governance grow more morally complex, revisiting such classical sources can offer administrators a deeper, more humane compass—one that aligns action not just with law, but with conscience.

Ethical Themes in the Bhagavad Gita

The *Bhagavad Gita* is not merely a spiritual treatise but a profound guide to ethical reasoning, especially in situations marked by moral ambiguity, conflict, and crisis. For public administrators and leaders, the ethical themes enshrined in the *Gita* are directly applicable to the responsibilities of governance, public service, and institutional conduct. These themes help construct an inner ethical framework that complements the external code of conduct found in modern administrative systems. Below are key ethical themes derived from the *Gita*, supported by its verses and interpreted in the context of administrative ethics:

Dharma (Righteous Duty and Ethical Role-Responsibility)

The *Gita* repeatedly emphasizes the importance of *dharma*—the principle of righteous conduct according to one's role in society. For Arjuna, a warrior by caste and duty, withdrawing from battle would be a dereliction of *svadharma* (one's own duty), even if war causes personal sorrow.

स्वधर्मे निधनं श्रेयः परधर्मो भयावहः ।

"Svadharme nidhanam śreyaḥ,
para-dharmo bhayāvahah" (*Bhagavad Gita* 3.35)

"Better to die in one's own duty than to follow another's path which is fraught with fear."

In the administrative realm, this theme teaches that civil servants must uphold their institutional roles without fear or favor, even in difficult situations. Ethical role fidelity is central to this view—doing the right thing as per one's position, regardless of popularity or pressure.

Nishkama Karma (Selfless Action without Attachment)

One of the most enduring ethical messages of the *Gita* is *nishkama karma*—performing one's duty without attachment to results. Krishna advises Arjuna not to renounce action, but to act with detachment and for the collective good.

कर्मण्येवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेषु कदाचन ।

"Karmanye vadhikaraste mā phaleṣhu kadācana" (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.47)

"You have the right to work, but not to the fruits thereof."

This teaching is a call to public servants to act ethically without being driven by personal gain, ambition, or fear

of consequences. It fosters accountability rooted in principles rather than outcomes—a quality essential for maintaining neutrality and justice in governance.

Lokasangraha (Welfare of Society)

Krishna emphasizes the ideal of *lokasangraha*—acting for the welfare of the world. Actions must not be self-centered but should uplift and stabilize society.

यद्यदाचरति श्रेष्ठस्तत्तदेवेतरो जनः ।

"Yad yad ācarati śreṣṭhas tat tad evetaro janaḥ" (*Bhagavad Gita* 3.21)

"Whatever a great person does, others follow; whatever standard they set, people emulate."

This idea aligns with the leadership role of administrators, whose conduct sets moral precedents for others. When civil servants act ethically and transparently, they not only perform their duties but also cultivate public trust and institutional legitimacy.

Viveka and Vairagya (Discrimination and Detachment)

Ethical leadership requires both *viveka* (discrimination or discernment) and *vairagya* (detachment from ego and desires). Krishna counsels Arjuna to discriminate between right and wrong, to rise above personal attachments, and to act with clarity.

बुद्धियुक्तो जहातीह उभे सुकृतदुष्कृते ।

"Buddhi-yukto jahātiha ubhe sukr̥ta-duṣkr̥te" (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.50)

"Endowed with wisdom, one sheds both good and bad deeds—even in this life."

In administrative ethics, *viveka* enables discernment in policy-making, especially

when decisions involve conflicting interests, while *vairagya* protects administrators from ego-driven or politically motivated choices. Both are essential for just and equitable governance.

Emotional Resilience and Equanimity (Samatvam)

Krishna consistently highlights the importance of *samatvam*—equanimity in the face of success, failure, praise, or criticism. This emotional resilience is an ethical strength, allowing administrators to remain steady and fair despite external pressures.

सुखदुःखे समे कृत्वा लाभालाभौ जयाजयौ । ततो युद्धाय युज्यस्व नैवं पापमवाप्स्यसि ॥

"Sukh-duḥkhe same kṛtvā lābhālābhau jayājayau, tato yuddhāya yujyasva naivam pāpam avāpsyasi" (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.38)

"Treat pleasure and pain, gain and loss, victory and defeat alike, and then engage in your duty."

This theme is deeply relevant to public service, where ethical decisions may not always yield immediate reward or recognition. A balanced mind enables better judgment and helps resist emotional manipulation or political interference.

Sva-bhava and Integrity

Krishna urges Arjuna to act in accordance with his *sva-bhava*—his intrinsic nature. Integrity, in this view, is living and acting in harmony with one's core principles and values.

स्वभावनियतं कर्म कुर्वन्नाप्रोति किल्बिषम् ।

"Sva-bhāva-niyataṁ karma kurvan nāpam lipyate" (*Bhagavad Gita* 18.47)

“By performing the duty prescribed by one’s own nature, one does not incur sin.”

In administration, this suggests that ethics is not merely external regulation but the manifestation of one’s inner moral disposition. When administrators act with integrity, guided by an inner sense of right, their decisions tend to be fairer and more transparent.

Ethical Courage and Moral Clarity

Arjuna’s crisis was fundamentally an ethical one: whether to act according to familial loyalty or moral duty. Krishna’s teachings embolden him to choose the harder, but ethically correct, path.

तस्मादुत्तिष्ठ कौन्तेय युद्धाय कृतनिश्चयः

"Tasmād uttishṭha kaunteya yuddhāya kṛta-niścayaḥ" (*Bhagavad Gita 2.37*)

“Therefore, arise, O son of Kunti, with a firm resolve to fight!”

Ethical courage is essential in public administration, especially in resisting corruption, standing up to injustice, and exposing wrongdoing. The Gita frames ethical courage not as aggression but as commitment to dharma, even under pressure.

The ethical themes of the *Bhagavad Gita* offer a nuanced and timeless guide for administrators. Far from being abstract or esoteric, they speak to real dilemmas—how to act fairly in a corrupt system, how to remain detached yet committed, how to uphold the law while serving justice, and how to lead with both conviction and humility.

By internalizing these values—*dharma*, *nishkama karma*, *viveka*, *samatvam*, and *lokasangraha*—public servants can develop a resilient ethical

compass. These themes enrich administrative ethics by blending moral reasoning with emotional strength and a larger social vision.

Comparative Analysis of Ethical Frameworks:

Bhagavad Gita and Contemporary Administrative Ethics

While administrative ethics in modern governance systems is often discussed through secular and procedural lenses, the *Bhagavad Gita* offers a complementary philosophical approach rooted in moral responsibility, self-discipline, and spiritual introspection. This comparative analysis identifies parallels and distinctions between Gita-based ethics and dominant Western ethical theories that shape administrative frameworks today.

Deontological Ethics (Kant) vs. Dharma (Gita)

Immanuel Kant’s deontological theory emphasizes duty (*deon*) as a moral imperative, where actions are evaluated based on intention and adherence to universal moral laws, rather than outcomes. This resonates with the Gita’s emphasis on *dharma*—duty performed without selfish desire.

स्वधर्ममपि चावेक्ष्य न विकम्पितुमर्हसि । धर्म्याद्धि युद्धाच्छ्रेयोऽन्यत्क्षत्रियस्य न विद्यते ॥

swa-dharmam api chāvekṣhya na vikampitum arhasi

dharmyāddhi yuddhāch chhreyo ’nyat kṣhatrīyasya na vidyate (*Bhagavad Gita 2.31*)

“Considering your dharma, you should not waver. For a warrior, there is nothing more honorable than a righteous war.”

Comparison	Deontological Ethics (Kant)	Gita's Ethics (Dharma)
Moral basis	Universal moral law (categorical imperative)	Contextual duty based on role (svadharma)
Motivation	Respect for moral law	Upholding duty aligned with cosmic order
Emotion	Suppresses emotion in decision-making	Integrates emotion and spiritual growth

While Kantian duty is universal and abstract, *Gita's dharma* is contextual and role-specific—allowing for more nuanced application in complex social systems like administration.

Utilitarianism (Mill) vs. Lokasangraha (Gita)

Utilitarianism, developed by Jeremy Bentham and refined by John Stuart Mill, evaluates actions by their consequences—"the greatest good for the greatest number." The *Gita* offers a similar yet spiritually enriched idea in the concept of *lokasangraha*—acting for the collective well-being of society.

कर्मणैव हि संसिद्धिमास्थिता जनकादयः ।
लोकसंग्रहमेवापि सम्पश्यन्कर्तुमर्हसि ॥

karmaṇaiva hi sansiddhim āsthitā janakādayaḥ

loka-saṅgraham evāpi sampāśhyan kartum arhasi (Bhagavad Gita 3.20)

"Janaka and others attained perfection by action alone; you should perform action for the welfare of the world."

Comparison	Utilitarianism	Gita's Lokasangraha
Moral basis	Consequences	Collective welfare with righteous action
Ethical limit	Potentially ignores minority harm	Balances duty with ethical intent
Motivation	Maximize happiness	Ensure social stability and cosmic order

While utilitarianism is outcome-driven, *lokasangraha* stresses responsible action rooted in duty—not only to maximize benefit but to prevent disorder or *adharma* (unrighteousness).

Virtue Ethics (Aristotle) vs. Sva-bhava and Self-Mastery (Gita)

Virtue ethics, as articulated by Aristotle, emphasizes the development of moral character and the cultivation of virtues (e.g., courage, temperance, justice). The *Gita's* idea of *sva-bhava* (one's nature) and *self-mastery* closely aligns with this notion.

बन्धुरात्मात्मनस्तस्य येनात्मैवात्मना जितः । अनात्मनस्तु शत्रुत्वे वर्तेतात्मैव शत्रुवत् ॥

bandhur ātmātmanas tasya yenātmaivātmanā jitaḥ anātmanas tu śhatrutve vartetātmaiva śhatru-vat (Bhagavad Gita 6.6)

"For one who has conquered the mind, the mind is the best of friends; but for one who has failed to do so, the mind will remain the greatest enemy."

Comparison	Virtue Ethics	Gita's Ethical Self-Mastery
Moral focus	Character development	Discipline of the self (mind and action)
Method	Practice of virtues	Practice of yoga, reflection, detachment
End goal	Eudaimonia (flourishing)	Liberation (<i>moksha</i>) through right action

Both traditions regard ethics as a lifelong journey. However, the *Gita* brings a transcendental dimension, viewing ethical action as a path to spiritual evolution, not merely civic virtue.

Ethics of Care (Gilligan) vs. Gita's Compassionate Duty

The ethics of care emphasizes empathy, relational interdependence, and context-sensitive responses. While the *Gita* demands detachment from outcomes, it is not devoid of compassion. Krishna urges Arjuna to act out of a sense of duty, but that duty is for the *upholding of the world*.

विद्याविनयसम्पन्ने ब्राह्मणे गवि हस्तिनि । शुनि चैव
श्वपाके च पण्डिताः समदर्शिनः ॥

*vidyā-vinaya-sampanne brāhmaṇe
gavi hastini*

*śhuni chaiva śhva-pāke cha paṇḍitāḥ
sama-darśinaḥ* (Bhagavad Gita 5.18)

"The wise see the same in a Brahmana, a cow, an elephant, a dog, and an outcaste."

Comparison	Ethics of Care	Gita's Ethical Compassion
Core value	Care and relationships	Duty with universal compassion
Ethical basis	Responsiveness to need	Equal vision and non-attachment
Limitation	May lack principled consistency	May risk misreading detachment as apathy

While ethics of care is relational, *Gita's* compassion is rooted in non-duality and oneness—treating all beings with equal respect (*samatva*).

Modern Bureaucratic Ethics vs. Gita's Inner Ethical Governance

Modern administrative ethics often revolves around codified conduct (e.g.,

integrity, transparency, accountability). However, external rules alone cannot prevent ethical failure. The *Gita* offers an internalized model of ethical governance based on self-awareness, discipline, and inner detachment.

अनाश्रितः कर्मफलं कार्यं कर्म करोति यः । स संन्यासी
च योगी च न निरर्ग्रिर्न चाक्रियः ॥

*anāśhritaḥ karma-phalam kāryam
karma karoti yaḥ*

*sa sannyāsī cha yogī cha na niragnir
na chākriyaḥ* (Bhagavad Gita 6.1)

"He who performs his prescribed duty without depending on the fruits of action is a true renunciate and a true yogi."

Comparison	Modern Bureaucratic Ethics	Gita's Internal Ethics
Ethical anchor	Legal rules, codes, oversight	Conscience, <i>atma-nigraha</i> (self-control)
Enforcement	External monitoring	Internal transformation
Outcome	Compliance	Conscious ethical leadership

The *Gita's* framework can serve as a moral compass to reinforce bureaucratic codes, not replace them—creating what may be called a "dual accountability": to law and to conscience.

This comparative analysis reveals that the *Bhagavad Gita* offers an ethical philosophy that both parallels and expands upon modern theories. It does not conflict with secular ethical frameworks but enriches them—infusing duty with meaning, action with self-awareness, and governance with integrity.

By integrating Gita-inspired ethics with contemporary frameworks, administrators can adopt a more holistic and humane approach—one that goes beyond rule-following to encompass

moral clarity, ethical courage, and service to humanity.

Application in Modern Public Administration and Civil Services

The relevance of the *Bhagavad Gita* to modern public administration lies in its profound ability to cultivate ethical mindfulness, inner resilience, and principled decision-making—traits that are indispensable for today's civil servants and administrators. The complexity of governance in contemporary India—marked by political pressures, corruption, information overload, and public scrutiny—demands more than technical competence. It calls for ethical clarity and personal integrity, which the *Gita* helps to nurture.

Ethical Decision-Making in Bureaucracy

Public administrators are frequently caught between conflicting interests—political directives, institutional pressures, and citizen expectations. The *Gita*'s concept of *nishkama karma* (selfless action) empowers bureaucrats to focus on the ethical dimensions of decision-making rather than on short-term outcomes or personal gains. **Example:** An IAS officer choosing to cancel a corrupt tender process despite political pressure is aligned with the *Gita*'s idea of doing one's duty without fear of loss or retribution.

तुल्यनिन्दास्तुतिर्मौनी सन्तुष्टो येन केनचित् । अनिकेतः
स्थिरमतिर्भक्तिमान्मे प्रियो नरः ॥

*tulya-nindā-stutir maunī santuṣṭho
yena kenachit*

*aniketah sthira-matir bhaktimān me
priyo narah— (Bhagavad Gita 12.19)*

“He who has no attachment, who is self-reliant and unwavering under praise or blame, he is the one who excels.”

Promoting Ethical Leadership and Integrity

Leadership in the civil service is not merely administrative but also moral. The *Gita*'s call to act with *viveka* (discernment) and *samatva* (equanimity) encourages administrators to lead without ego, uphold transparency, and resist favoritism or vengeance. Civil servants can draw on the *Gita* to strengthen ethical training modules in institutions like the **LBSNAA** or **State Administrative Training Institutes**, integrating reflection, mindfulness, and case-based ethical dilemmas grounded in Indian values.

Building Institutional Trust and Citizen Confidence

Administrative ethics based on codes and regulations are necessary but insufficient unless accompanied by ethical behavior that citizens can perceive. The *Gita* teaches consistency between thought, word, and action—*manasa, vacha, karmana*—essential for building **trust in public institutions**.

Citizens are more likely to cooperate with and support public schemes if they perceive sincerity, selflessness, and justice in administrative behavior—values deeply embedded in *Gita*'s teachings.

Role in Policy Implementation and Conflict Resolution

Administrators often work in volatile contexts—implementing land acquisition, regulating environmental protection, or balancing minority welfare. Here, *dharma* is dynamic—it demands context-aware application of universal values.

The *Gita*'s principle of *situational morality guided by inner clarity* becomes a powerful ethical compass for public

officers engaging in **conflict-sensitive governance**.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite the promise of Gita-based administrative ethics, there are inherent challenges in integrating spiritual-philosophical frameworks into secular public systems:

Misinterpretation and Misuse

There is a risk that selective interpretations of the *Gita* could justify inaction or blind obedience under the guise of *duty*. Without critical reflection, teachings like detachment may be misunderstood as apathy.

“Detached action” must not become an excuse for indifference or moral disengagement.

Institutional Resistance and Secular Constraints

Modern administrative systems, particularly in democracies, emphasize the secular character of the state. Introducing scripture-based ethics must be done carefully—respecting pluralism and ensuring voluntarism.

The *Gita* should be framed as **ethical philosophy** rather than religious doctrine, aligning with the constitutional morality of India.

Need for Ethical Training and Cultural Integration

Current administrative training largely focuses on law, efficiency, and protocol. Ethical competence is often presumed, not cultivated. There is a need for structured **ethics training modules** that draw on both **Indian and global traditions**, making space for reflective learning, meditation, and moral reasoning.

Conclusion

The *Bhagavad Gita* provides a timeless, context-sensitive framework for ethical action in public life. Its teachings of *dharma*, *selfless action*, *emotional equanimity*, and *universal compassion* enrich modern administrative ethics by adding depth, spirituality, and moral clarity.

Rather than replacing existing ethical frameworks, the *Gita* complements them—humanizing bureaucracy, empowering public servants, and promoting integrity in governance. In an age of rising public distrust and moral ambiguity, re-engaging with such indigenous sources of ethical wisdom is not only desirable—it is essential.

योगः कर्मसु कौशलम् “*Yogah karmasu kaushalam*” (*Bhagavad Gita* 2.50) — *Excellence in action is Yoga.*

By embodying this principle, today’s administrators can transform governance from a mechanical exercise of rules into a meaningful path of public service and nation-building.

References

- Bhagavad Gita. (n.d.). *Srimad Bhagavad Gita*. (Swami Gambhirananda, Trans.). Advaita Ashrama. (Original work composed ca. 2nd century BCE)
- Chakrabarty, B., & Bhattacharya, M. (2008). *The Indian administrative system*. Pearson Education India.
- Dhar, P. K. (2009). *Indian ethics: Classical traditions and contemporary challenges*. Rawat Publications.

- Kangle, R. P. (2010). *The Kautilya Arthashastra*. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers.
- Miller, B. S. (Trans.). (2004). *The Bhagavad-Gita: Krishna's counsel in time of war*. Bantam Classics.
- Muniapan, B., & Dass, M. (2008). Corporate social responsibility: A philosophical approach from an ancient Indian perspective. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 1(4), 408–420. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICBM.2008.019874>
- Prasad, B. (2020). *Administrative ethics: Theory and practice in Indian context*. Sage Publications.
- Radhakrishnan, S. (2006). *The Bhagavadgita*. HarperCollins Publishers India.
- Rao, V. (2011). Ethical governance and Indian ethos. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 57(3), 369–378.
- Sharma, A. (2002). *The philosophy of religion and Advaita Vedanta: A comparative study in religion and reason*. Penn State Press.
- Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative behavior: A study of decision-making processes in administrative organizations* (4th ed.). Free Press.
- Srivastava, S. (2016). Administrative ethics in India: A moral dilemma. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 77(2), 225–236.
- Thakur, R. R. (2015). *Dharma and leadership: Insights from the Bhagavad Gita for ethical leadership*. *Journal of Human Values*, 21(3), 181–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971685815590211>